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ABSTRACT
Novel Drug Delivery System oriented towards increasing safety and efficacy of existing drug molecule through novel concepts like oral drug delivery system. In that the basic approach used in the development of the oral dispersible tablets by using different super disintegrants. Another approach used in developing oral dispersible tablets is maximizing pore structure of the tablets. Freeze-drying and vacuum-drying techniques have been tried by researchers to maximize the pore structure of tablet matrix. Tablets containing Atenolol with super disintegrants like Starch citrate, Sodium starch glycolate and cross carmellose sodium were prepared by direct compression technique. The tablets were evaluated for percentage friability, wetting time, disintegration time and in vitro studies etc.
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INTRODUCTION
The conventional dosage forms (tablet and capsule) have wide acceptance up to 50-60 % of the total dosage forms. Tablet is still most popular dosage form existing forms existing because of ease of self administration, compact in nature, easy to manufacture and it can be delivered in accurate dose. One drawback of solid dosage form is difficulty in swallowing (dysphasia) and chewing in some patients particularly in geriatric and paediatric patients. The problem of choking is common phenomenon in geriatric patients due to fear of choking, hand tremors, dysphasia1. Orally
Disintegrating tablets are also called as Orodispersible tablets, quick disintegrating tablets, mouth dissolving tablets, fast disintegrating tablets, fast dissolving tablets, rapid dissolving tablets, porous tablets, and rap melts. However, of all the above terms, United States pharmacopoeia (USP) approved these dosage forms as ODTs. Recently, European pharmacopoeia has used the term orodispersible tablet for tablets that disperses readily and within 3 min in mouth before swallowing. An orally disintegrating tablet or orodispersible tablet (ODT) is a drug dosage form available for a limited amount of over-the-counter (OTC) and prescription medications. ODTs differ from traditional tablets in that they are designed to be dissolved on the tongue rather than swallowed whole. The ODT serves as an alternative dosage form for patients who experience dysphasia (difficulty in swallowing) or for where compliance is a known issue and therefore an easier dosage form to take ensures that medication is taken. An additional reason to use ODTs is the convenience of a tablet that can be taken without water.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Atenolol was obtained from Zudus Cadila Health Care Pvt.Ltd, India. Starch citrate, Sodium starch glycolate, Cross carmellose sodium, Mannitol, Microcrystalline cellulose, Talc and Magnesium Stearate were purchased from Qualigens fine chemicals, Mumbai, India. All other chemicals and ingredients were used for study are of Analytical grade.

METHODS
Preparation of Oral Dispersible Atenolol Tablets
Weigh accurate required amount of Atenolol and all ingredients. Then mix them in stoichiometric proportions. Then punch the tablets by using tablet punching machine by direct compression technique, as shown in Table No.1.

EVALUATION PARAMETERS
Pre-formulation Studies
Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy
The Fourier transform infra-red analysis was conducted for the structure characterization. FTIR spectra of the pure drug, super disintegrants and formulations were recorded by using BOMEN MB SERIES FTIR instrument. Approximately 5mg of samples were mixed with 50mg of spectroscopic grade KBr, samples were scanned in the IR range from 500 to 3500 cm⁻¹, with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹.

Pre-compression studies of Oral dispersible tablet powder

Bulk density
3gm of powder were weighed separately and transferred into 100ml measuring cylinder, initial volume was measured and calculated according to the formula

Formula

\[ \text{Bulk density} = \frac{\text{Mass}}{\text{Volume}} \]

Tapped density
Tapped density is determined by placing a graduated cylinder containing a known mass of powder and mechanical tapper apparatus, which is operated for a fixed number of taps until the powder bed volume has reached a minimum volume. Using the weight of the powder in the cylinder and this minimum volume, the tapped density may be computed.

Formula

\[ \text{Tapped density} = \frac{\text{Weight of Powder}}{\text{Tapped volume of Powder}} \]

Angle of Repose
The manner in which stresses are transmitted through a bead and the beads response to applied stress are reflected in the various angles of friction and response. The most commonly used of this in angle of repose, which may be determined experimentally by number of methods. The method used to find the angle of repose is to pour the powder a conical on a level, flat surface and measure the included angle with the horizontal.

Formula

\[ \theta = \tan^{-1} (h/r) \]

Where,
\[ \theta = \text{Angle of repose}, \]
\[ h = \text{Height of the powder cone}, \]
\[ r = \text{Radius of the powder cone}. \]

Compressibility Index or Carr’s Index
Carr’s Index is measured using the values of bulk density and tapped density.
The following equation is used to find the Carr’s Index,

\[
CI = \frac{(TD-BD)}{TD} \times 100
\]

Where, TD = Tapped density
BD = Bulk density.

**Hausner’s Ratio**

It indicates the flow properties of the powder and ratio of Tapped density to the Bulk density of the powder.

**Formula**

Hausner’s Ratio = Tapped density/Bulk density

**Post compression studies of Atenolol Oral dispersible tablets**

**Hardness or Crushing strength Test**

Hardness of the tablet was determined using the Monsanto hardness tester (The lower plunger was placed in contact with the tablet and a zero reading was taken. The plunger was then forced against a spring by tuning a threaded bolt until the tablet fractured. As the spring was compressed a pointer rides along a gauge in the barrel to indicate the force. The force required to break the tablet is measured in kilograms and a crushing strength of 4Kg is usually considered to be the minimum for satisfactory tablets. Oral tablets normally have a hardness of 4 to 10 kg ; however, hypodermic and chewable tablets have a hardness of 3 kg and some sustained release tablets have a hardness of 10 -20 kg.

**Thickness Test**

The thickness of the tablet is mostly related to the tablet hardness can be used as initial control parameter. Ten tablets were randomly selected from each tablet thickness was determined using a Venire caliper and the reading was recorded in millimeters.

**Friability Test**

The pre-weighed tablets were placed in the friabilator (EF-2, Electro lab, Mumbai) which was then operated for 100rpm, then dusted and reweighed. The Conventional compressed tablets that lose less than 0.5-1.0% of their weight are generally considered acceptable.

\[
I - F
\]

Friability index = -------- X 100
I

Where,
I - Initial weight
F - Final weight

**Weight variation test**

Weights of 20 individual tablets were noted and their mean weight also calculated. The percentage deviation was calculated by using the following formula,

\[
\text{Percentage deviation} = \frac{\text{X-X'}}{\text{X}} \times 100
\]

X - Actual weight of the tablet
X' - Average weight of the tablet

**Estimation of Drug Content**

An accurately weighed amount of powdered Atenolol (100 mg) was extracted with water and the solution was filtered through 0.45 µ membrane filter paper. The absorbance was measured at 228 nm after suitable dilution.

**Calculation**

The amount of Atenolol present in tablet can be calculated using the formula

\[
A_t/A_s \times S_w/100 \times 100
\]

Where,
\(A_t\) = Absorbance of sample preparation
\(A_s\) = Absorbance of Standard preparation
\(S_w\) = weight at Atenolol working standard (mg)

**Disintegration time study**

Tablet was put into 100 ml distilled water at 37 ± 2°C. Time required for complete dispersion of a tablet was measured with the help of digital tablet disintegration test apparatus.

**Wetting time study**

A piece of tissue paper folded twice was placed in a small Petri dish (internal diameter = 6.5cm) containing 5 ml of distilled water. A tablet was placed on the paper, and the time for complete wetting of the tablet was measured in seconds.

**In vitro drug release studies**

The dissolution was carried out using rotating paddle method; freshly prepared 0.1N HCl (pH 1.2) (900 ml) was placed in dissolution flask and allowed to obtain temperature at 37±0.5°C. The tablets were placed in beaker and rotated with 50rpm for 30
minutes. 1 ml of sample was withdrawn at different time intervals (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 mints). After each withdrawal, medium was replaced by equal amount of fresh 0.1N HCl (pH 1.2). The sample were diluted to 10 ml with dissolution medium and used for measurement of absorbance at 228 nm. Before this, add 1 ml of 1% FeCl₃ solution to it. The dissolution data obtained were plotted as percentage drug release versus time.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Pre formulation studies
Compatibility studies (Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopic studies)
The fourier transform infra-red analysis was conducted for the surface structure characterization. FTIR spectrum of the formulated tablets, pure drug and different superdisintegrants was recorded. The tablets were taken in a KBr pellet by using BOMEN MB SERIES FTIR instrument. The Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy study reveals that there is no interaction between the different superdisintegrants and pure drug. Then all the functional groups are found in the IR spectrum of pure drug and different superdisintegrants.

Precompression studies of powders
Bulk density
The packing properties of the drugs and their formulations widely depend upon bulk density. It has been stated that bulk density values less than 1.2gm/cm³ indicate good flow and values greater than 1.5 gm/cm³ indicate poor flow. From the results it can be seen that the bulk density values are less than 1.2gm/cm³. This indicates good flow characteristics of the powders. Values showed in Table No.2.

Tapped density
From the results it can be seen that the Tapped density values indicate good flow characteristics of the powders. Values showed in Table No.2.

Angle of Repose
Angle of repose is less than or equal to 40° indicates free flowing properties of the powders. However angle of repose is greater than 40° indicates poor flow of material. It can be observed that the angle of repose for various batches of the powders is found to be less than 40°, it indicates good flow properties of the powders. Values showed in Table No.2.

Compressibility Index or Carr’s Index
Carr’s Index is less than or equal to <10 indicates free flowing properties of the powders. However Carr’s Index is greater than <10 indicate poor flow of material. It can be observed that the Carr’s Index for various batches of the powders is found to be less than >10; it indicates good flow properties of the powders. Values showed in Table No.2.

Hausner's Ratio
Hausner’s Ratio is less than or equal to 1.069 indicates free flowing properties of the powders. However Hausner’s Ratio is greater than 1.35 indicates poor flow of material. It can be observed that the Hausner’s Ratio for various batches of the powders is found to be less than 1.35; it indicates good flow properties of the powders. Values showed in Table No.2.

Postcompression studies
Hardness Test
The hardness of the tablet various batches were determined. The various batches of the tablets of hardness values are found within limits and it indicates good strength of the oral dispersible tablets. Values showed in Table No.3.

Thickness Test
The thicknesses of tablets were almost uniform in the all formulations and were found to be in the range of 0.36mm. Values showed in Table No.3.

Friability Test
The oral dispersible tablets friability values are found to be less than 1% in all cases and considered to be satisfactory. Values showed Table in No.3.

Weight variation test
All this oral dispersible tablets passed weight variation test as the % weight variation was within the pharmacopoeia limits. The weight of the all tablets was found to be uniform with low standard deviation values. Values showed in Table No.3.

Estimation of Drug Content
Drug content of all the batches are within the acceptable range which shows the proper mixing of drug and excipients. Values showed in Table No.3.
Disintegration time study
The disintegration time (D.T) of all formulations is shown in the Table No.4.

Wetting time study
The wetting time study of all formulations is shown in the Table No.4.

In vitro drug release studies
Among all the batches F_5 formulations showed the better dispersible and dissolution of drug (Table No.5 and Figure No.1 (a and b).

Table No.1: Formulation of different batches of Atenolol Oral Dispersible Tablets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>F_1</th>
<th>F_2</th>
<th>F_3</th>
<th>F_4</th>
<th>F_5</th>
<th>F_6</th>
<th>F_7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Atenolol</td>
<td>60 mg</td>
<td>60 mg</td>
<td>60 mg</td>
<td>60 mg</td>
<td>60 mg</td>
<td>60 mg</td>
<td>60 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Starch citrate</td>
<td>21 mg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.5 mg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.5 mg</td>
<td>7.0 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sodium starch glycolate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21 mg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.5 mg</td>
<td>10.5 mg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.0 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cross carmelllose sodium</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21 mg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.5 mg</td>
<td>10.5 mg</td>
<td>7.0 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>179 mg</td>
<td>179 mg</td>
<td>179 mg</td>
<td>179 mg</td>
<td>179 mg</td>
<td>179 mg</td>
<td>179 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Micro crystalline cellulose</td>
<td>27 mg</td>
<td>27 mg</td>
<td>27 mg</td>
<td>27 mg</td>
<td>27 mg</td>
<td>27 mg</td>
<td>27 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Talc</td>
<td>7 mg</td>
<td>7 mg</td>
<td>7 mg</td>
<td>7 mg</td>
<td>7 mg</td>
<td>7 mg</td>
<td>7 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Magnesium stearate</td>
<td>7 mg</td>
<td>7 mg</td>
<td>7 mg</td>
<td>7 mg</td>
<td>7 mg</td>
<td>7 mg</td>
<td>7 mg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total weight of the tablet – 300mg/Tab

Table No.2: Precompression studies of powders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Formulations</th>
<th>Bulk Density (gm/cm³)</th>
<th>Tapped Density (gm/cm³)</th>
<th>Angle of Repose (θ)</th>
<th>Carr's Index (%)</th>
<th>Hausner's Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F_1</td>
<td>0.483</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>30.13</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>1.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F_2</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>32.42</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>1.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F_3</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>33.15</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>1.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F_4</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>1.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F_5</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>34.08</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>1.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F_6</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>31.24</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>1.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F_7</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>32.36</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>1.064</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table No.3: Postcompression studies of Atenolol Oral Dispersible Tablets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Formulations</th>
<th>Hardness Test (kg/cm)</th>
<th>Thickness Test (cm)</th>
<th>Friability Test (%)</th>
<th>% of Weight variation test</th>
<th>Estimation of Drug Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F₁</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F₄</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F₅</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F₆</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F₇</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table No.4: Postcompression studies of Atenolol Oral Dispersible Tablets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Formulations</th>
<th>Disintegration time (sec)</th>
<th>Wetting time (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F₁</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F₄</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F₅</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F₆</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F₇</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table No.5: Comparative dissolution study of different formulations with various ratios of superdisintegrants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Time (mints)</th>
<th>% of drug release (F₁)</th>
<th>% of drug release (F₂)</th>
<th>% of drug release (F₃)</th>
<th>% of drug release (F₄)</th>
<th>% of drug release (F₅)</th>
<th>% of drug release (F₆)</th>
<th>% of drug release (F₇)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>02.20</td>
<td>02.52</td>
<td>03.15</td>
<td>02.38</td>
<td>03.93</td>
<td>02.49</td>
<td>03.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>07.53</td>
<td>09.24</td>
<td>10.87</td>
<td>08.83</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>09.05</td>
<td>09.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.72</td>
<td>20.56</td>
<td>22.54</td>
<td>18.03</td>
<td>25.73</td>
<td>18.34</td>
<td>21.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32.65</td>
<td>36.15</td>
<td>39.35</td>
<td>34.38</td>
<td>42.28</td>
<td>35.54</td>
<td>37.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>46.48</td>
<td>53.25</td>
<td>56.16</td>
<td>51.20</td>
<td>60.37</td>
<td>53.62</td>
<td>55.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>64.52</td>
<td>70.45</td>
<td>72.46</td>
<td>68.38</td>
<td>78.58</td>
<td>69.25</td>
<td>70.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure No.1 (a): Comparative dissolution study of different formulations with various ratios of superdisintegrants

Figure No.1 (b): Comparative dissolution study of different formulations with various ratios of superdisintegrants
CONCLUSION
In the present study was concluded that, all the batches showed good to satisfactory free flowing properties which made it suitable for direct compression. A F5 formulation showed an wetting time of 12 sec and disintegrating time of 16 sec, which was the minimum among all the formulations. In vitro dissolution studies showed that the formulation F5 gave the maximum percentage drug release (78.58) with in 30mintes. The combination of Sodium starch glycolate and Cross carmellose sodium (F5) was found to be the best superdisintegrant in the preparation of FDT of atenolol. Thus, the objective of preparing atenolol and formulating into fast dissolving tablets was successfully achieved. The formulated fast dissolving tablets of atenolol may be useful for anti-hypertensive, which can improve the patient compliance and hence can minimize the premature therapeutic dropouts leading to better therapeutic efficacy.
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